

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE AUTOMOTIVE PARTS
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

:
: Master File No. 12-md-02311
: Judge Marianne O. Battani
: Magistrate Judge Mona K. Majzoub

IN RE: INTERIOR TRIM PRODUCTS

:
: Case No. 2:16-cv-03503
:
:

THIS RELATES TO:
End-Payor Cases

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

**ORDER GRANTING END-PAYOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH INOAC
AND PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS**

Upon consideration of End-Payor Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement with Defendants INOAC Corporation, INOAC Group North America, LLC, and INOAC USA Inc., (collectively, "INOAC") and Provisional Certification of Settlement Class ("Motion"), it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Motion is hereby **GRANTED**.
2. Unless otherwise set forth herein, defined terms in this Order shall have the same meaning ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

Preliminary Approval of Settlement Agreement

3. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are hereby preliminarily approved, including the release contained therein, as being fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, subject to a Fairness Hearing.

4. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into at arm's length by experienced counsel and is sufficiently within the range of reasonableness that notice of the Settlement Agreement should be given, pursuant to a plan to be submitted by Settlement Class Counsel and approved by the Court at a later date as provided in this Order.

Class Certification

5. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 23, and in light of the proposed settlement, the Court hereby finds that the prerequisites for a class action have been met and provisionally certifies the following class for settlement purposes ("Settlement Class"):

"Settlement Class" is defined as:

All persons and entities that, from June 1, 2004 through the Execution Date, purchased or leased a new Vehicle in the United States not for resale, which included one or more Interior Trim Products as a component part, or indirectly purchased one or more Interior Trim Product(s) as a replacement part, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant, any current or former subsidiary or affiliate of a Defendant, including, but not limited to, Intertec Systems, LLC, a U.S. company, and Intertec Systems, an Ontario general partnership, or any co-conspirator of a Defendant. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and affiliates, any co-conspirators, federal governmental entities and instrumentalities of the federal government, states and their subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities, and persons who purchased Interior Trim Products directly or for resale.

6. The Court finds that provisional certification of the Settlement Class is warranted because: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (b)

End-Payor Plaintiffs' claims present common issues and are typical of the Settlement Class; (c) End-Payor Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel (defined below) will fairly and adequately represent the Settlement Class; and (d) common issues predominate over any individual issues affecting the members of the Settlement Class. The Court further finds that End-Payor Plaintiffs' interests are aligned with the interests of all other members of the Settlement Class. The Court also finds settlement of the above-captioned action ("Action") on a class basis is superior to other means of resolving the matter.

Appointment of Settlement Class Counsel

7. The Court hereby appoints Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy LLP, Robins Kaplan LLP, and Susman Godfrey L.L.P. as Settlement Class Counsel, having determined that the requirements of Rule 23(g) are fully satisfied by this appointment.

8. Each End-Payor Plaintiff class representative named in the operative complaint in the Action will serve as an End-Payor Plaintiff class representative on behalf of the Settlement Class.

Notice to Potential Class Members

9. Prior to the Fairness Hearing, Settlement Class Counsel shall provide notice of the Settlement Agreement and the Fairness Hearing to all persons affected by and/or entitled to participate in the Settlement Agreement in compliance with the notice requirements of Rule 23 and due process of law. Such means of providing notice will be addressed in a subsequent Order following submission by End-Payor Plaintiffs at a later date of a proposal for notice to the Settlement Class and related forms for notice, claims and distribution ("Notice Motion").

10. The Notice Motion shall include a proposed form of, method for, and date of dissemination of notice and the date on which the notice is mailed shall be the “Notice Date.”

Other Provisions

11. INOAC agrees to cooperate with End-Payor Plaintiffs in connection with the continued prosecution of the Action against any future co-conspirator Defendants as required in the Settlement Agreement.

12. In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated in accordance with its provisions, the Settlement Agreement and all proceedings had in connection therewith shall be null and void, except insofar as expressly provided to the contrary in the Settlement Agreement, and without prejudice to the status quo and rights of End-Payor Plaintiffs, INOAC, and the members of the Settlement Class.

13. The Court’s provisional certification of the Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant, including INOAC, to contest certification of any other class proposed in any case within *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation (In re Interior Trim Products)*, Master File No. 2:12-md-02311 (the “MDL Action”). The Court’s findings in this Order shall have no effect on the Court’s ruling on any motion to certify any class in the MDL Action or on the Court’s ruling(s) concerning any Defendant’s motion, and no party may cite or refer to the Court’s approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any such class or any Defendant’s motion.

14. The Court approves the establishment of the Settlement Fund under the Settlement Agreement as a qualified settlement fund (“QSF”) pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, and retains continuing

jurisdiction as to any issue that may arise in connection with the formation and/or administration of the QSF. Settlement Class Counsel are, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, authorized to expend funds from the QSF for the payment of the costs of notice, payment of taxes, and settlement administration costs.

15. The litigation against Releasees (as defined in the Settlement Agreement with INOAC) is stayed except to the extent necessary to effectuate the Settlement Agreement.

16.

Date: May 23, 2017

s/Marianne O. Battani
MARIANNE O. BATTANI
United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing Order was served upon counsel of record via the Court's ECF System to their respective email addresses or First Class U.S. mail to the non-ECF participants on May 23, 2017.

s/ Kay Doaks
Case Manager